

Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. Upon entry of this Amendment, claims 3-8, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 23-26, and 28-36 are cancelled without prejudice, and claims 41-64 are added. Claims 37 and 45 are independent.

Restriction Requirement

The Action imposes a two-way restriction requirement, requiring restriction of the application between two groups as follows: Group I (3-8, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 23-26, and 28-36) and Group II (claims 37-40).

Applicants elect Group II (claims 37-40) without traverse. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the non-elected claims in a corresponding divisional application.

Allowability of Group II

Applicants advanced reasons for the allowability of claim 37 and its dependent claims in Applicants' amendment filed on January 5, 2011.

For example, claim 37 recites language not found in claims 23, 28, and 29, so the rationale given in the final Office action dated August 6, 2010, for rejecting claims 23, 28, and 29 is not sufficient to reject claim 37. Page 17 of the final Office action dated August 6, 2010, states, "All of the limits of Claim 37 have been previously addressed in Claim 23, 28 and 29, and is therefore rejected using the same prior art and rationale." Applicants respectfully disagree. Claims 23, 28, and 29 do not recite, for example, "the response comprises an indication of whether the software application is of a particular application type" or "displaying information from the response to the query from the database along with the metadata received in response to the metadata request in a window of the graphical user interface along with information for one or more other software applications of the particular application type." Accordingly, the reasons given in the rejection of claims 23, 28, and 29 do not fully support a rejection of claim 37.

New Claims

Claims 41-64 have been added, and can be examined along with the claims in Group II. New independent claim 45 is a method claim that contains language taken directly from claim

37. New dependent claims 41-44 depend from claim 37. New dependent claims 46-64 depend from claim 45.

Interview Request

If any issues remain, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone for resolution.

Conclusion

The claims stand ready for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By /Brian Casey Fitzpatrick/
Brian Casey Fitzpatrick
Registration No. 66,847